arXiv:1703.01401v1 [math.GT] 4 Mar 2017

A CATEGORIFICATION OF THE HOMFLY-PT POLYNOMIAL WITH A SPECTRAL SEQUENCE TO KNOT FLOER HOMOLOGY

NATHAN DOWLIN

Abstract. Let $E_k^F(D)$ be the spectral sequence induced by the oriented cube of resolutions on knot Floer homology. We prove that $E_2^F(D)$ is a triply graded link invariant whose graded Euler characteristic is the HOMFLY-PT polynomial and that the higher pages are link invariants. By construction, the spectral sequence converges to knot Floer homology. We show that the rank of the torsion-free part of $E_2^F(D)$ is the rank of HOMFLY-PT homology.

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to better understand the relationship between HOMFLY-PT homology and knot Floer homology. A relationship was first conjectured by Dunfield, Gukov and Rasmussen in [3]:

Conjecture 1.1. *There is a spectral sequence from HOMFLY-PT homology to knot Floer homology.*

Evidence for this relationship arose when Ozsváth and Szabó developed the oriented cube of resolutions for knot Floer homology ([11]) and noted that the algebra involved was reminiscent of HOMFLY-PT homology.

These similarities have been studied extensively by Manolescu ([7]), Gilmore ([4]), and the author ([1], [2]). In particular, Manolescu defined an untwisted version of the cube of resolutions and conjectured a possible construction of the spectral sequence.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let D be a braid diagram for a knot K, and let S be a singular resolution of D. Define $H_H(S)$ to be the HOMFLY-PT homology of S and $H_F(S)$ to be the homology of the complex assigned to S by the knot Floer cube of resolutions.*

(a) There is an isomorphism between $H_F(S)$ and $H_H(S)$.
(b) This isomorphism commutes with the induced edge maps in the cube of resolutions.

Note that Conjecture 1.2a + Conjecture 1.2b $\implies$ Conjecture 1.1. In particular, if $E_k^F(D)$ denotes the kth page of the spectral sequence induced by the cube filtration on the knot Floer cube of resolutions and $E_k^H(D)$ denotes the kth page for the HOMFLY-PT cube of resolutions, then

$$\text{Conjecture 1.2a} \implies E_1^F(D) \cong E_1^H(D)$$
$$\text{Conjecture 1.2b} \implies E_2^F(D) \cong E_2^H(D)$$

Since HOMFLY-PT homology is defined to be the E_2 page $E_2^H(D)$, this conjecture would prove that $E_2^F(D)$ is HOMFLY-PT homology and $E_\infty^F(D)$ is knot Floer homology.

In [2], we proved part (a) of the conjecture, but unfortunately the isomorphism was not canonical. Thus, we were unable to approach part (b). However, in this paper we will show that $E_2^F(D)$ satisfies the same properties that make HOMFLY-PT homology an interesting theory.

Theorem 1.3. *Let D be a braid diagram for a knot K. Then $E_2^F(D)$ is a triply-graded link invariant that categorifies the HOMFLY-PT polynomial. The higher pages of the spectral sequence are all link invariants, and by construction, the E_∞ page is knot Floer homology.*

This spectral sequence seems to have a lot in common with Rasmussen's spectral sequences $E_k(n)$ in [13], where the E_2 page is HOMFLY-PT homology, the higher pages are link invariants, and the E_∞ is sl_n homology. If $E_2^F(D)$ turns out to be HOMFLY-PT homology, then this spectral sequence would fit into Rasmussen's framework as the $E_k(0)$ spectral sequence.

We show that $E_2^F(D)$ does have more common with HOMFLY-PT homology than just the graded Euler characteristic. The middle HOMFLY-PT homology $H_H(D)$ is known to be a free $\mathbb{F}[U]$ module. We show that the U-torsion-free part of $E_2^F(D)$ is isomorphic to $H_H(D)$, where by U-torsion we mean the set of $x \in E_2^F(D)$ such that $U^k x = 0$ for some k.

Theorem 1.4. *Let T be the U-torsion part of $E_2^F(D)$. Then*

$$E_2^F(D) \cong H_H(D) \oplus T$$

This isomorphism preserves two of the three gradings.

Thus, the E_2 page being torsion-free would prove that it is in fact HOMFLY-PT homology.

Corollary 1.5. *The reduced theory is given by*

$$\overline{E_2^F}(D) \cong \overline{H}_H(D) \oplus T'$$

where $\overline{H}_H(D)$ is the reduced HOMFLY-PT homology and T' is the homology of the mapping cone

$$T \xrightarrow{U} T$$

In particular, there is a rank inequality

$$dim(\overline{E_2^F}(D)) \geq dim(\overline{H}_H(D))$$

The paper will be organized as follows. Section 2 will give a background on the knot Floer cube of resolutions and some of the tools that will be used in the invariance proof. Section 3 will describe a general type of algebraic proof that can be used to prove invariance for theories with certain local properties, and Section 4 will show that the knot Floer cube of resolutions satisfies these properties. Section 5 proves the relationships with HOMFLY-PT homology.

2. Background

The knot Floer cube of resolutions was first defined with twisted coefficients by Ozsváth and Szabó in [11]. It was later modified by Manolescu to give an untwisted version ([7]), which is the one we will be discussing here.

Let D be a decorated braid diagram for a link L. The cube complex can be defined for any diagram with a distinguished edge, but like HOMFLY-PT homology, we will need our diagram to be a braid in order to obtain invariance. We will choose the convention of having our braids oriented from the bottom to the top, with the loops oriented clockwise. An example is given in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. A decorated braid diagram for the right-handed trefoil.

2.1. **The Knot Floer Cube of Resolutions.** We will assume that the reader is familiar with the construction of knot Floer homology - for resources, see [9], [10], [12]. To simplify our computations, we will work with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients. Working without signs makes sense in this context because HOMFLY-PT homology, unlike sl_n homology, gives an invariant for any choice of coefficients [6].

Remark 2.1. Technically, Krasner only shows invariance with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients. However, since the E_1 page is known to be torsion-free due to the MOY decomposition, we can apply the universal coefficient theorem to the E_2 page. This proves that the HOMFLY-PT homology over any ground ring is a well-defined invariant.

The oriented cube of resolutions is defined using a multi-pointed Heegaard diagram on S^2 for a knot K. We will be using the minus theory $HFK^-(K)$, where we count all discs which pass through O_i with coefficient U_i, and we do not count any discs passing through an X basepoint. The ground ring R is therefore given by $\mathbb{Z}_2[U_1, ..., U_n]$. Given a decorated braid projection D, we assign the Heegaard diagram in Figure 2a to each positive crossing, and the diagram in Figure 2b to each negative crossing.

Knot Floer homology is typically defined using just the information in a Heegaard diagram. Unfortunately, there is no known diagram for which the associated chain complex splits as an oriented cube of resolutions. However, using the diagrams in Figure 2, we will construct



(a) Positive Crossing (b) Negative Crossing

FIGURE 2. The Heegaard diagrams at positive and negative crossings

a larger complex $C_F(D)$ which is chain homotopy equivalent to $CFK^-(D)$ such that $C_F(D)$ does split into a cube of resolutions.

Note that these two diagrams use the same α and β curves, but differ in the location of one of the X basepoints. The diagram in Figure 3 is thus able to include the data from both the positive and the negative crossings, as well as the oriented smoothing. More specifically, taking the A_0 and A^+ labels to denote X basepoints gives a positive crossing, taking A_0 and A^- to denote X basepoints gives a negative crossing, and taking the two B labels to be X basepoints gives the oriented smoothing. Given a link diagram D with a choice of crossing c, let D_+ denote the diagram where that crossing is a positive crossing, D_- the diagram where it is a negative crossing, and D_s the oriented resolution.

We will start by defining the cube of resolutions for a negative crossing. Since there are X basepoints at A_0 and A^-, the intersection point x becomes special, as it induces a filtration on the complex. Let X denote the component of the knot Floer complex with generators containing the x intersection point, and let Y denote the component of the complex with generators which do not contain x. If we let Φ_B denote those differentials with multiplicity 0 at A_0 and A^- and multiplicity 1 at one of the B's, then

$$CFK^-(D_-) \cong Y \xrightarrow{\Phi_B} X$$

We then define the cube complex $C_F(D_-)$ to be the complex in Figure 4, where Φ_{A^-} counts discs with multiplicity 0 at the B basepoints and 1 at A_0 or A^- and Φ_{A^-B} counts discs with multiplicity 1 at one of the B basepoints and multiplicity 1 at A_0 or A^- (and multiplicity 0 at the other in both cases). The proof that $d^2 = 0$ is comes from counting Maslov index 2 degenerations (see [11], p. 33).



FIGURE 3. The Diagram at a Crossing



FIGURE 4. Complex for the Negative Crossing

Note that $C_F(D_-)$ admits two filtrations - a horizontal filtration and a vertical filtration (the filtrations induced by the horizontal and vertical coordinates, respectively). Using the vertical filtration, we see that

$$C_F(D_-) \cong CFK^-(D_-)$$

where the chain homotopy equivalence comes from contracting the isomorphism

$$X \xrightarrow{1} X$$

The interesting filtration on this complex is the horizontal filtration - this is the one that gives us the cube of resolutions. The complex in the lower filtration level is given by

$$Y \xrightarrow{\Phi_{A^-}} X$$

We define $C_F(D_s)$ to be this complex. Note that this is exactly the complex obtained by placing X basepoints at the B markings, so it gives the knot Floer homology of the oriented smoothing, $CFK^-(D_s)$.

The complex in the higher filtration level is given by

$$X \xrightarrow{U_1+U_2+U_3+U_4} X$$

Observe that the chain complex X is the complex obtained by deleting α_2 and β_2 from the Heegaard diagram, giving the diagram in Figure 5. This is the Heegaard diagram used to describe the singularization D_x ([8]). Since the linear term $U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4$ depends only on the four edges adjacent to the crossing and not the sign of the crossing, we define

$$C_F(D_x) = X \xrightarrow{U_1+U_2+U_3+U_4} X$$

This gives the cube of resolutions decomposition

$$C_F(D_-) = C_F(D_s) \longrightarrow C_F(D_x)$$

The positive crossing has a similar story, except that the focus is on the intersection point x'. Let X' denote the component of the complex with generators containing the x' intersection point, and let Y' denote the the component of the complex with generators that do not contain x'. Then we have

$$CFK^-(D_+) \cong X' \xrightarrow{\Phi_{B'}} Y'$$

where $\Phi_{B'}$ counts discs with multiplicity 1 at one of the B markings and 0 at A_0 and A^+. We can now define the cube complex for the positive crossing, $C_F(D_+)$, to be the complex in Figure 6. The maps are defined analogously to the positive crossing diagram, where Φ_{A^+} counts discs with multiplicity 0 at the B basepoints and 1 at A_0 or A^+ and Φ_{A^+B} counts discs with multiplicity 1 at one of the B basepoints and multiplicity 1 at A_0 or A^+ (and multiplicity 0 at the other in both cases).

Looking at the vertical filtration, we see that

$$C_F(D_+) \cong CFK^-(D_+)$$

The horizontal filtration gives us the cube of resolutions. The complex in the higher filtration level is the knot Floer complex of the oriented smoothing

$$X' \xrightarrow{\Phi_{A^+}} Y'$$

which is isomorphic to $C_F(D_s)$. The complex in the higher lower level is

$$X' \xrightarrow{U_1+U_2+U_3+U_4} X'$$



FIGURE 5. Heegaard diagram for a singularization



FIGURE 6. Complex for the Positive Crossing

where X', like X in the positive crossing case, is the complex coming from the diagram in Figure 5. Thus, the complex in the higher filtration level is precisely $C_F(D_x)$. We therefore have the decomposition

$$C_F(D_+) = C_F(D_x) \longrightarrow C_F(D_s)$$

For a diagram D, we define the cube of resolutions complex $C_F(D)$ to be the complex obtained by modifying the chain complex as defined above for all of the positive and negative

crossings in the diagram. For a positive crossing, we call the singularization the 0-resolution of the crossing, and the smoothing the 1-resolution of the crossing. For a negative crossing, the smoothing is the 0-resolution and the singularization is the 1-resolution.



FIGURE 7. 0- and 1-resolutions of positive and negative crossings

We turn the cube filtration into a grading by using the height in the cube of resolutions. In particular, let $c_1, ...c_k$ be the crossings in D. A complete resolution is a singular diagram $S_{i_1...i_k}$ for $i_j \in \{0, 1\}$, where the j-th crossing in D has received the i_j-resolution. This vertex in the cube of resolutions has cube grading

$$gr_{cube}(S_{i_1...i_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} i_j$$

Using this grading, the differential can be decomposed as

$$d = d_0 + d_1 + ... + d_k$$

where d_j increases the cube grading by j.

2.2. **The Three Gradings.** The knot Floer complex comes equipped with a bigrading - the Maslov grading, and the Alexander grading. We will refer to these as M and A, respectively. With respect to (M, A), the differential has bigrading $(-1, 0)$ and multiplication by U_i changes the bigrading by $(-2, -1)$. This bigrading extends to the cube of resolutions complex, but the cube complex also has the cube grading, making it triply graded.

HOMFLY-PT homology is also triply graded, with gradings gr_q, gr_h, and gr_v (using the conventions of [13]). These gradings are called the quantum grading, the horizontal grading, and the vertical grading, respectively. With respect to this triple grading, the vertex maps d_0 are homogeneous of degree $(2, 2, 0)$, the edge maps d_1 are homogeneous of degree $(0, 0, 2)$, and U_i multiplication is homogeneous of degree $(2, 0, 0)$.

We can define analogs for these three gradings on $C_F(D)$ (which will also be denoted by gr_q, gr_h, and gr_v) as follows:

$$gr_v = 2gr_{cube} - c(D) - b(D)$$
$$gr_q = -2M + 2A - gr_v$$
$$gr_h = -2M + 4A - gr_v$$

where $c(D)$ is the number of crossings in D and $b(D)$ is the number of strands in the braid D. With respect to this triple grading, the vertex maps, edge maps, and U_i multiplication behave in the same way as in the HOMFLY-PT complex.

2.3. **The Decorated Edge and Insertions.** If we view our diagram as a 4-valent graph with additional information at the crossings, we see that the O_i basepoints are in bijection with edges of the graph. It is sometimes convenient to perform (0,3) stabilization at such an O_i, as in Figure 8. To maintain the bijection between the O_i basepoints and edges in the diagram D, we add in a bivalent vertex in the diagram D whenever perform one of these stabilizations. Stabilizations of this type are called *insertions*.



FIGURE 8. The diagram at a typical insertion (left) and the marked insertion (right)

One aspect of the Heegaard diagram that we have neglected to mention thus far is its dependence on the marking in the decorated braid diagram. If we were to draw our diagram ignoring this data, we would end up with one too many α and β circles for a balanced Heegaard diagram. Therefore, our marked edge on the diagram D specifies an edge at which we add an insertion, but leave out the α and β circles, giving a well-defined Heegaard diagram.

The dependence on this marked edge is one of the more confusing aspects of the theory. While its location does not impact the chain homotopy type of the complex, the chain homotopy equivalence maps are difficult to compute even when the complexes are quite simple.

In [7] and [11], the decorated edge was always assumed to be leftmost in the braid. When we are using this convention, we will write the complex as $C_F(D)$. However, we can also further simplify things by adding an extra unknotted component to the left of the braid and placing the decorated edge on this component. This is equivalent to just placing an X and O_i basepoint to the left of the diagram. This construction removes any dependence on the marked edge from our computations. We define $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ to be the complex obtained in this way with the new U_i variable set to 0.

In analogy with the three versions of HOMFLY-PT homology, we will call $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ the *unreduced* complex and $C_F(D)$ the *middle* complex. We define the *reduced* complex $\overline{C}_F(D)$ by setting one of the U_i variables in $C_F(D)$ equal to zero.

2.4. **Generators and Cycles.** We define a subset of edges Z in our diagram D to be a *cycle* if they form a homological cycle in the underlying oriented graph for D. In other words, at each vertex in D (both bivalent and 4-valent) the number of incoming edges in Z and the number of outgoing edges in Z are the same.

There is a way of assigning a cycle Z to each generator in our complex $CFK^-(D)$. Whenever a generator contains an intersection point on one of the α or β arcs in Figure 9 ($\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_3$, or β_4), we assign the edge corresponding to the O_i contained in that arc. Defining $Z_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ to be the local cycle in a tangle T containing edges $e_{i_1}, \dots e_{i_k}$, a full description of which generators correspond to which local cycles in Figure 10. This association of cycles to generators gives a very powerful framework in which to make computations, as we will see in later sections.

2.5. **The Basepoint Filtration on $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$.** Our choice of Heegaard diagram allows us to place a basepoint filtration on the complex $CFK^-(D)$. We add basepoints p_i to the Heegaard diagram as in Figure 11 - note that these basepoints are in bijection with regions in the complement of D in S^2.

We will list a few lemmas regarding these basepoints, proved in [1], Section 3.

Lemma 2.2. *These markings define a filtration on the complex $CFK^-(D)$, where the change in filtration level of a differential is given by the sum of the multiplicities of the corresponding holomorphic disc at these basepoints. This filtration does not depend on the location of the X's in the interior regions, so it extends to a filtration on $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$.*

Let $\widetilde{C}_F(D, Z)$ denote the complex generated by the elements corresponding to the cycle Z.

Lemma 2.3. *Let d^f denote the component of the differential which preserves the basepoint filtration (i.e. discs which do not pass through the p_i). The differential d^f preserves $\widetilde{C}_F(D, Z)$, i.e. it does not change the underlying cycle of a generator.*

2.6. **The Homology of a Fully Singular Diagram.** Since we are interested in studying the spectral sequence on $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ induced by the cube filtration, the first thing we'll need to understand is the E_1 page. If S_v is the fully singular braid at the vertex v in the cube of resolutions, then

$$(\widetilde{C}_F(D), d_0) = \bigoplus_v \widetilde{C}_F(S_v)$$

We computed the homology $\widetilde{C}_F(S)$ for a singular braid S in [2], and we will summarize the results here. Since the basepoint filtered differential splits over the cycles, we have that



FIGURE 9. The Labeled Diagram

Cycles	Generators
Z_ϕ	(d, g) and (e, f)
Z_{13}	(c, d, h) and (c, e, i)
Z_{24}	(a, e, j) and (b, g, j)
Z_{23}	(a, e, i), (a, d, h), (b, f, h), and (b, g, i)
Z_{14}	(c, e, j)
Z_{1234}	(b, c, h, j)

FIGURE 10. Generators corresponding to each local cycle

$$H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S), d^f) = \bigoplus_Z H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S, Z))$$

Let $V_2(S)$ and $V_4(S)$ denote the set of 2-valent and 4-valent vertices in S. The set of cycles Z which have generators in $\widetilde{C}_F(S)$ are precisely those cycles which do not have include all



FIGURE 11. Additional basepoints

four edges adjacent to any vertex in V_4, and which do not pass through the decorated bivalent vertex.

Given any such cycle, let $W_2(Z)$ denote the set of bivalent vertices which are not the endpoint of an edge in Z, and $W_4(Z)$ the set of 4-valent vertices which are not the endpoint of an edge in Z.

Given a vertex v, define $L(v)$ to be the sum of all edges adjacent to v, and for $v \in V_4(S)$, let $Q(v)$ denote the product of the two incoming edges plus the product of the two outgoing edges.

The total complex for $\widetilde{C}_F(S,Z)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{C}_F(S,Z) = \Big[\bigotimes_{e_i \in Z} R \xrightarrow{U_i} R\Big] \otimes \Big[\bigotimes_{v \in W_2(Z)} R \xrightarrow{L(v)} R\Big] \otimes \Big[\bigotimes_{v \in W_4(Z)} R \xrightarrow{Q(v)} R\Big] \otimes \Big[\bigotimes_{v \in V_4(S)} R \xrightarrow{L(v)} R\Big]$$

This can be seen by counting bigons in Figures 5 and 8.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\widetilde{H}_H(D)$ denote the unreduced HOMFLY-PT homology of D. The filtered homology $H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S,Z), d_0)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{H}_H(S-Z)$.*

This tells us that the basepoint-filtered homology of $\widetilde{C}_F(S)$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S), d^f) \cong \bigoplus_Z \widetilde{H}_H(S - Z)$$

The HOMFLY-PT homology of a singular link is bigraded, with bigrading (gr_q, gr_h) (because the cube grading is fixed). Using the quantum and horizontal gradings on $\widetilde{C}_F(S)$, this can be made into an isomorphism of bigraded vector spaces:

$$H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S), d^f) \cong \bigoplus_Z \widetilde{H}_H(S - Z)\{q(Z), a(Z)\}$$

where the grading shifts $q(Z)$ and $a(Z)$ depend on combinatorial data from Z.

The following two theorems are the main results from [2]:

Theorem 2.5. *With coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, there is an isomorphism of bigraded vector spaces*

$$\bigoplus_Z \widetilde{H}_H(S - Z)\{q(Z), a(Z)\} \cong \widetilde{H}_H(S)\langle 1\rangle$$

where $\langle 1\rangle$ denotes a grading shift $(gr_q, gr_h) \mapsto (gr_q + kgr_h, gr_h)$.

Theorem 2.6. *With coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, the spectral sequence on $\widetilde{C}_F(S)$ induced by the basepoint filtration collapses at the E_1 page.*

$$H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S), d) \cong H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S), d^f)$$

We need these theorems to hold over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ as well. When computing HOMFLY-PT homology, the only complexes that are contracted have coefficient ± 1 (reference), so the rank of the homology of $\widetilde{H}_H(S)$ in each bigrading is independent of the ground field. This proves that Theorem 2.5 holds over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Since the only complexes that were contracted when calculating $H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S), d^f)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ had coefficient ± 1, the fact that all higher differentials are 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$ implies that all higher differentials are also 0 over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, proving that Theorem 2.6 also holds over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

These theorems give us some powerful information that will come in handy when calculating $E_2(\widetilde{C}_F(D))$. In particular, we see that the induced edge map d_1^* can be filtered by the basepoint filtration, so long as we compute $E_1(\widetilde{C}_F(D))$ by canceling filtered differentials first. They also allow us to compute the graded Euler characteristic, which will be done in the next section.

2.7. **The Graded Euler Characteristic.** We define the HOMFLY-PT polynomial via the skein relation

$$aP_H(a, q, D_+) - a^{-1}P_H(a, q, D_-) = (q - q^{-1})P_H(a, q, D_s)$$

normalized to $P_H(unknot) = \frac{a-a^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$.

Using (gr_q, gr_h, gr_v) as the triple grading on $\widetilde{H}_H(K)$, Rasmussen showed that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} (-1)^{(k-j)/2} q^i a^j dim(\widetilde{H}_H^{i,j,k}(D)) = P_H(a, q, m(K))$$

where $m(D)$ denotes the mirror of the knot D.

Remark 2.7. Rasmussen doesn't present this in terms of the mirror of the knot K, but rather defines a different version of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial, which we will denote P_H^m, via the skein relation

$$aP_H^m(a,q,D_-) - a^{-1}P_H^m(a,q,D_+) = (q-q^{-1})P_H^m(a,q,D_s)$$

Using the triple grading (gr_q, gr_h, gr_v) on $E_2(\widetilde{C}_F(D))$, we see that the Poincare polynomial of $\widetilde{H}_F(S)$ is the same as that of $\widetilde{H}_H(S)$ with aq substituted for a. In both the HOMFLY-PT complex and the knot Floer cube of resolutions, the edge maps have triple grading $(0,0,2)$, so the sum is alternating in the cube grading. It follows that

$$\sum_{i,j,k}(-1)^{(k-j)/2}q^i a^j dim(E_2(\widetilde{C}_F(D))^{i,j,k}(D)) = P_H(aq,q,m(D))$$

For a more detailed exposition on the graded Euler characteristic, see [1], Section 4.

Although this proves that the graded Euler characteristic gives a version of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial, it is preferable to have a triple grading on $E_2(\widetilde{C}_F(D))$ that gives the standard HOMFLY-PT polynomial. The HOMFLY-PT polynomial for a knot and it's mirror are related by the following formula:

$$P_H(a,q,m(D)) = P_H(a^{-1},q^{-1},D)$$

Additionally, the modification $(gr_q, gr_h) \mapsto (gr_q - gr_h, gr_h)$ maps $aq \mapsto a$ on the level of polynomials. Thus, if we redefine the triple grading to be $(-gr_q + gr_h, -gr_h, gr_v)$, then

$$\sum_{i,j,k}(-1)^{(k-j)/2}q^i a^j dim(E_2^{i,j,k}(\widetilde{C}_F(D))) = P_H(a,q,D)$$

2.8. **The Filtered Edge Maps.** Some of the local cycles only appear in one of the two resolutions of a crossing, so they won't have any edge maps. Using the notation from Figure 10, the cycles Z_{14} and Z_{23} will only have non-trivial homology at the singularization, and Z_{1234} will only have non-trivial homology at the smoothing. However, the cycles $Z_\emptyset$, Z_{13}, and Z_{24} appear non-trivially in both the singularization and the smoothing. The filtered edge maps for these cycles are computed in detail in [1], and we will summarize the results here.

Let S_1 and S_2 be two resolutions of a diagram D which only differ in how a single positive crossing is resolved, with S_1 the singularization and S_2 the smoothing. For the empty cycle $Z_\emptyset$, we want to understand the map

$$d_1^f : \widetilde{H}_H(S_1 - Z_\emptyset) \to \widetilde{H}_H(S_2 - Z_\emptyset)$$

Since $S_1 - Z_\emptyset$ and $S_2 - Z_\emptyset$ differ at a single crossing where the former is singularized and the latter is smoothed, there is an obvious candidate for this map: the standard HOMFLY-PT edge map for a positive crossing, which we will denote Δ_+. In [1], we compute d_1^f directly and prove that this is in fact the edge map for the empty cycle.

For the cycle Z_{13}, we see by inspection that $S_1 - Z_{13}$ and $S_2 - Z_{13}$ are actually the same singular diagram, so there is a canonical isomorphism between $\widetilde{H}_H(S_1 - Z_{13})$ and $\widetilde{H}_H(S_2 - Z_{13})$. The map

$$d_1^f : \widetilde{H}_H(S_1 - Z_{13}) \to \widetilde{H}_H(S_2 - Z_{13})$$

Cycles	(+) Edge Map	(-) Edge Map
Z_ϕ	Δ_+	Δ_-
Z_{13}	1	U_4
Z_{24}	U_1	1
Z_{23}	0	0
Z_{14}	0	0
Z_{1234}	0	0

FIGURE 12. Summary of the Filtered Edge Maps

is this isomorphism.

The cycle Z_{24} is similar, in that $S_1 - Z_{24}$ and $S_2 - Z_{24}$ are the same singular diagram. However, the edge map in this case is not an isomorphism, but instead it is multiplication by U_1.

When the crossing is negative instead of positive, the edge maps go from S_2 to S_1 instead. For the empty cycle $Z_\emptyset$, the map

$$d_1^f : \widetilde{H}_H(S_2 - Z_\emptyset) \to \widetilde{H}_H(S_1 - Z_\emptyset)$$

is the HOMFLY-PT edge map for a negative crossing, Δ_-. For the cycles Z_{13} and Z_{24}, the maps are opposite those for the positive crossing. In particular, the map from $\widetilde{H}_H(S_2 - Z_{13})$ to $\widetilde{H}_H(S_1 - Z_{13})$ is multiplication by U_4, while the map from $\widetilde{H}_H(S_2 - Z_{24})$ to $\widetilde{H}_H(S_1 - Z_{24})$ is the isomorphism. These results are summarized in Figure 12.

We refer to the local cycle Z_{13} as a 'left turn' and the local cycle Z_{24} as a 'right turn.' It follows from these edge maps that any cycle which makes a left turn at a positive crossing or a right turn at a negative crossing will have no contribution to the homology of the E_2 page. For this reason, we say that a cycle is *admissible* if it makes no left turns at positive crossings or right turns at negative crossings.

Definition 2.8. We say that a complex has been *reduced* at an edge e_i if it is tensored with the complex

$$R \xrightarrow{U_i} R$$

where the map increases the cube grading by 1 (and treats the other two gradings the usual way for edge maps).

Definition 2.9. Let D be a diagram for a link L, and let n be greater than the number of components of L. We define $\widetilde{H}_H(D, n)$ to be the complex $\widetilde{H}_H(D)$ reduced at n edges, with at least one edge on each component of L.

To see that this is well-defined and does not depend on the choice of edges, apply the theorem from [13] that multiplication by edges on the same component of D gives the same action on homology.

Using the above computations, we see that the homology for the empty cycle is just HOMFLY-PT homology of the the whole diagram, $\widetilde{H}_H(D)$. However, when D is a diagram of a knot, then any non-empty cycle Z must make at least one turn. In fact, if we look at each component of $D - Z$, Z must make a turn adjacent to this component. Thus, if Z is

admissible, then each component of $D - Z$ gets reduced by an edge map coming from a turn in Z. It follows that

$$H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D), d_0^f), (d_1^f)^*) \cong \widetilde{H}_H(D) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{Z \text{ nonempty} \\ Z \text{ admissible}}} \widetilde{H}_H(D - Z, n(Z))$$

where n is the number of turns made by Z.

3. A Sufficient Condition for Invariance

Let $C(D)$ denote an abstract cube of resolutions complex. In this section we will give sufficient algebraic conditions on $C(D)$ for the E_2 and higher pages of the induced cube of resolutions to be invariants.

In order for a homology theory to be an invariant of braids, it needs to be invariant under the braid-like isotopies shown in Figure 13. Instead of the typical 3-crossing Reidemeister III move, we use the move that relates the 6-crossing diagram with the 0-crossing diagram - the reason for this choice will become clear below. We will view these braid-like Reidemeister moves as replacing one tangle with another within the closed braid.



FIGURE 13. Braidlike Reidemeister Moves

We will refer to the tangles shown in Figure 13 as *inner* tangles, and the the complement of these tangles in D as *outer* tangles. For the Type I moves, the inner and outer tangles are (1,1) tangles, for the Type II moves the inner and outer tangles are (2,2) tangles, and for the Type III move the inner and outer tangles are (3,3) tangles.

Let D_a and D_b be two braid diagrams related by one of the braid-like Reidemeister moves such that D_a has the type (a) inner tangle and D_b has the type (b) inner tangle. We will denote these inner tangles by T_a and T_b, respectively, with the outer tangle denoted T_{out}.

Since the cube grading is given by the sum over all crossings of the grading from that crossing, we can decompose the cube grading into the inner cube grading (the sum over all crossings in the inner diagram) and the outer cube grading. We will denote these by gr_{in} and gr_{out}, respectively. Note that $gr_{in} + gr_{out} = gr_{cube}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{in}$, $\mathcal{F}_{out}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{cube}$ be the filtrations induced by these gradings.

Let d_{ij} denote the differentials on D which increase the outer cube grading by i and the inner cube grading by j

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $C(D)$ satisfies the following properties:*

(a) With respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{out}$, the complexes $C(D_a)$ and $C(D_b)$ are filtered chain homotopy equivalent.

(b) The homology $H_*(H_*(C(D_a), d_{00}), d_{01}^*)$ lies in a single inner grading $gr_{in} = c$.

Then the $E_k(C(D_a))\{-c\} \cong E_k(C(D_b))$ for all $k \geq 2$, where the isomorphism is graded with grading gr_{cube} and $\{n\}$ refers to a shift by n in the cube grading.

Proof. Since the tangle T_b does not contain any crossings, we have that

$$(C(D_b), \mathcal{F}_{cube}) \cong (C(D_b), \mathcal{F}_{out})$$

as filtered complexes. Together with (a), this shows that

$$(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out}) \cong (C(D_b), \mathcal{F}_{cube})$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$E_k(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{cube})\{-c\} \cong E_k(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out})$$

for $k \geq 2$, where the spectral sequences are induced by the respective filtrations. We are therefore interested in comparing these two filtrations on the complex $C(D_a)$.

Let d_k^{out} denote the differentials which increase gr_{out} by k, and d_k^{cube} the differentials which increase gr_{cube} by k. Then

$$d_k^{out} = \sum_j d_{kj}, \qquad\qquad d_k^{cube} = \sum_{i+j=k} d_{ij}$$

The E_1 page relative to the $\mathcal{F}_{out}$ filtration is given by

$$E_1(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out}) = H_*(C(D_a), d_0^{out}) = H_*(C(D_a), d_{00} + d_{01} + ... + d_{0n})$$

where n is the number of crossings in T_a. If we filter the d_0^{out}) differential by the inner filtration $\mathcal{F}_{in}$, then this homology will be the E_∞ page of the induced spectral sequence. However, using assumption (b), this spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 page because it is in a single inner cube grading.

$$E_1(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out}) \cong H_*(H_*(C(D_a), d_{00}), d_{01}^*)$$

The induced d_1^{out} map is given by

$$(d_1^{out})^* = d_{10}^* + d_{11}^* + ... + d_{1n}^*$$

but since the homology is in a single inner cube grading, $d^*_{ij} = 0$ for $j \neq 0$. Thus, $(d_1^{out})^* = d^*_{10}$ and

$$E_2(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out}) \cong H_*(H_*(H_*(C(D_a), d_{00}), d^*_{01}), d^*_{10})$$

The E_1 page relative to the $\mathcal{F}_{cube}$ filtration is given by

$$E_1(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out}) = H_*(C(D_a), d_0^{cube}) = H_*(C(D_a), d_{00})$$

The induced d_1^{cube} map is given by

$$(d_1^{cube})^* = d^*_{01} + d^*_{10}$$

By filtering this differential with the outer cube grading, we can first take homology with respect to d^*_{01}, giving

$$H_*(H_*(C(D_a), d_{00}), d^*_{01})$$

This homology lies in a single inner cube grading, so the spectral sequence induced by the the outer cube filtration on $(d_1^{cube})^*$ collapses at the next page:

$$H_*(H_*(C(D_a, d_{00}), d^*_{01} + d^*_{10}) \cong H_*(H_*(H_*(C(D_a, d_{00}), d^*_{01}), d^*_{10})$$

proving that

$$E_2(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{cube}) \cong H_*(H_*(H_*(C(D_a), d_{00}), d^*_{01}), d^*_{10}) \cong E_2(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out})$$

This proves the isomorphism of the E_2 pages - to make the isomorphism graded, we observe that $E_2(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{cube})$ lies in inner cube grading c, so the isomorphism is homogeneous of grading $-c$. Thus, adding in the grading shift, we see that

$$E_2(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{cube})\{-c\} \cong E_2(C(D_a), \mathcal{F}_{out})$$

To see that the higher pages of the spectral sequence are isomorphic as well, we note that the E_2 pages of the two complexes were computed by taking homology with respect to the same differentials. It follows that the induced higher differentials are the same, and since the local cube grading has collapsed, the filtrations $\mathcal{F}_{cube}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{out}$ are now the same. Since the filtered complexes are isomorphic, all higher pages in the spectral sequence are isomorphic as well.

□

One can show that the HOMFLY-PT complex $C_H(D)$ satisfies the conditions of these theorems. This gives an alternative proof that HOMFLY-PT homology, the E_2 page of the spectral sequence induced by the cube filtration, is an invariant of braids. The higher pages of the spectral sequence are Rasmussen's $E_k(-1)$ spectral sequence.

4. The Proof of Invariance

Let $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ denote the triply graded complex with triple grading (M, A, gr_{cube}). We define $\widetilde{C}_F(D)\{k\}$ to be the complex in which the cube grading has an overall shift of k. In this section we will prove the main theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D))\{\frac{1}{2}(-c(D)-b(D))\}$ denote the spectral sequence induced by the cube filtration. Then $E_2(\widetilde{C}_F(D))\{\frac{1}{2}(-c(D)-b(D))\}$ is a triply graded link invariant which categorifies the HOMFLY-PT polynomial, and the higher pages are link invariants.*

We will prove this by showing that $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Condition (a) will follow an argument extending the triangle maps corresponding to isotopies and handleslides to the cube complex, while (b) will involve direct computation. We will complete the proof by showing that $\frac{1}{2}(-c(D)-b(D))$ is the proper grading shift to make the cube grading into an absolute grading (this is the same grading shift needed for HOMFLY-PT homology).

We need a lemma from homological algebra:

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose F is a filtered chain map between filtered complexes C and C'. If F induces an isomorphism on the homologies of the associated graded objects of C and C', then F is a filtered chain homotopy equivalence.*

Lemma 4.3. *If $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are two Heegaard diagrams containing the crossing diagram for the oriented cube of resolutions that differ by a handleslide or isotopy away the α and β circles involved in the crossing, then there is a filtered chain homotopy equivalence from the cube of resolutions corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_1$ to the cube of resolutions corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_2$.*

By 'away from the α and β curves' we mean that the the curves α_1, α_2, β_1, and β_2 in Figure 3 are rigid. This does allow handleslides over α_2 and β_2.

Proof. We will assume the crossing is positive, but an analogous argument works for the negative crossing. From Heegaard Floer theory, we know that an isotopy or handleslide induces a chain homotopy equivalence on CFK^- obtained by counting holomorphic triangles. If we place X's at the A's, we get the map



where f_0 counts triangles with multiplicity zero at A and B, and f_B counts triangles with multiplicity 1 at one of the B's and 0 at the other B and both A's.

Similarly, if we place X's at the B's, we get the map between smoothings

Using these maps, we can construct a map f between the cubes as in Figure 14, where L is the linear relation $U_1+U_2+U_3+U_4$ and f_{A^+B} counts triangles with multiplicity one at one of the A's and one of the B's, and multiplicity 0 at the other A and B. The fact that f is a chain map almost follows from the previous two examples - the only remaining maps that we have to show commute correspond to the maps from the upper left X_1 to the lower right X_2. That is,

$$f_{A^+B}\circ d_{X_1}+d_{X_2}\circ f_{A^+B}+f_{A^+}\circ\Phi_B+\Phi_{A^+}\circ f_B+\Phi_{A^+B}\circ f_0+f_0\circ\Phi_{A^+B}=0 \tag{1}$$



FIGURE 14. The Chain Map

To see this, we will examine the standard knot Floer complexes from the diagrams $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$, with the modification that we allow discs to pass through both A and A^+. These complexes are now curved complexes with

$$d^2 = (U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4)I$$

and counting holomorphic triangles gives a morphism of curved complexes that still commutes with the two differentials (see Figure 15).



FIGURE 15. A Morphism of Curved Complexes

This complex is filtered by the number of times a disc passes through one of the A's (the Alexander filtration) and the $df + fd$ terms from X_1 to X_2 which decrease the filtration by 1 are precisely those from (1). Since the maps from holomorphic triangles commute with the differentials on these two complexes, they must sum to zero. It follows that our choice of f from the cube corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_1$ to the cube corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_2$ is a chain map.

Since f does not decrease the cube grading, f is filtered. To see that this map induces an isomorphism on the associated graded objects, we simply observe that the maps restricted to each vertex in the cube of resolutions are precisely the standard maps induced by isotopies or handleslides for those diagrams, which are known to be chain homotopy equivalences. Applying Lemma 4.2 completes the proof.

□

This lemma allows us to do isotopies and handleslides away from crossings without impacting the filtered chain homotopy type. We will also need to perform $(0,3)$ stabilizations.

Lemma 4.4. *Let D be a braid diagram, and let D' be the diagram obtained by adding a bivalent vertex (an insertion) to D. Then the filtered chain homotopy types of D and D' are the same with respect to the cube filtration.*

Proof. The local Heegaard diagrams for D and D' are shown in Figure 17. We can perform two handleslides in the Heegaard diagram for D' as shown in Figure . By Lemma 4.3, since these handleslides happen away from all crossings, they do not change the chain homotopy type of the cube complex.

By the standard argument regarding $(0,3)$ stabilizations, the intersection points x and y give us a decomposition of $\widetilde{C}(D')$

$$\widetilde{C}(D') \cong \widetilde{C}(D)[U_2] \xrightarrow{U_1+U_2} \widetilde{C}(D)[U]$$

Canceling the mapping cone gives

$$\widetilde{C}(D') \cong \widetilde{C}(D)[U_2]/U_1 = U_2 \cong \widetilde{C}(D)$$

□



(a) The Heegaard diagram for D



(b) The Heegaard diagram for D'

FIGURE 16. The Heegaard diagrams before and after adding the insertion



(a) Pre-handleslides



(b) Post-handleslides

FIGURE 17. The Heegaard diagram for D' before and after the two handleslides.

Let D_a and D_b be two braid diagrams which differ by one of the moves in Figure. Let T_a and T_b be the associated tangles, and suppose B is a ball in S^2 with $B \cap D_a = T_a$, $B \cap D_b = T_b$. The ball B determines a ball B' in the Heegaard diagrams for D_a and D_b, unique up to isotopy relative to the α, β curves and the X, O markings. Let $\mathcal{H}_a$ and $\mathcal{H}_b$ denote the corresponding Heegaard diagrams.

Lemma 4.5. *The Heegaard diagrams for D_a and D_b can be connected by a sequence of isotopies and handleslides that take place within B', together with addition or removal of insertions.*

Proof. The moves to connect diagrams D_a^{I+} and D_b^{I+} are shown in Figure 18 - the other diagrams can be connected similarly.



FIGURE 18. Isotopies, handleslides, and destabilizations connecting D_a^{I+} to D_b^{I+}

□

Combining Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 proves that $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ satisfies condition (a) of Theorem 3.1. We now want to show that it satisfies (b) as well, i.e. that for each braidlike Reidemeister move, the homology $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D), d_{00}), d_{01}^*)$ lies in a single local cube grading.

4.1. **Reidemeister I.** We will add basepoints to the diagram D_a^{I+} in every region in the complement of D_a^{I+} except the center region involved in the Reidemeister move (see Figure 19). Let d_{ij}^f denote the component of d_{ij} which preserves this basepoint filtration. Since $H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D), d_{00}) \cong H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D), d_{00}^f)$, it suffices to show that $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{I+}), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*)$ lies in a single local cube grading.

Definition 4.6. Let T be a tangle in a diagram D as in the previous definition. If Z is a cycle in D, we define the *inner cycle* of Z to be the set of edges of Z that lie in T, and the *outer cycle* to be the set of edges in Z in the complement of T.

Given an arbitrary inner cycle and outer cycle, they may not necessarily join up to make a cycle in the whole diagram D - whether or no they do is determined by whether the outgoing edges in the outer cycle are the same as the incoming edges of the inner cycle, and vice-versa.

Definition 4.7. For a braid-like tangle T with k incoming and k outgoing strands, we define a *connectivity* to be a pair A_{in}, A_{out} of subsets of $\{1, ..., k\}$. If Z is an inner cycle, then $i \in A_{in}(Z)$ if the ith incoming edge is contained in Z. Similarly, $j \in A_{out}(Z)$ if the jth outgoing edge is contained in Z.



FIGURE 19. The diagram D_a^{I+} with additional basepoints

Since the differentials d_{00}^f and d_{01}^f preserve vertices in the outer cube, it suffices to consider partial resolutions S_a^{I+} of D_a^{I+} such that all outer crossings are resolved, but the inner crossing is not.

Note that an outer cycle uniquely determines the connectivity of a compatible inner cycle. With the filtration coming from the additional basepoints, two cycles lie in the same filtration level iff they have the same outer cycle. We will prove that for each outer cycle, the homology $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S_a^{I+}), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*)$ lies in the same local cube grading.

Let Z be an outer cycle. For the Reidemeister I move, there are exactly two possible connectivities - $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \emptyset$ and $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$.

Case 1: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \emptyset$.

In S_a^{I+}, there are two inner cycles with this connectivity: $Z_\emptyset$ and Z_2. We will fix the outer cycle with this connectivity throughout. Let $Z'_\emptyset$ denote the unique cycle in S_b^{I+} with the same outer cycle.

With the Heegaard diagram for S_a^{I+} as labeled in Figure 20, the generators with this connectivity are given by $(a, e), (f, e), (b, g)$, and (d, g). After canceling the isomorphisms in Y from e_1 to e_2^γ corresponding to the bigon containing A_0, the complex is given by Figure 21. The diagram only includes the d_{00}^f differentials because it gets far too messy with the d_{01}^f differentials included as well. Instead, we list the d_{01}^f differentials separately here (the computation is straightforward).



FIGURE 20. Reidemeister I Heegaard Diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^f_{01}(a_2 e^\alpha_2) &= U_1 b_2 g_2 \\
d^f_{01}(a_1 e^\alpha_2) &= U_1 b_1 g_2 + d_2 g_2 \\
d^f_{01}(a_2 e^\beta_2) &= U_1 b_2 g_1 \\
d^f_{01}(a_1 e^\beta_2) &= U_1 b_1 g_1 + d_1 g_2 \\
d^f_{01}(f_2 e^\alpha_2) &= (U_1 + U_2) d_2 g_2 \\
d^f_{01}(f_1 e^\alpha_2) &= d_2 g_1 + d_1 g_2 \\
d^f_{01}(f_2 e^\beta_2) &= U_1 d_2 g_1 + U_2 d_1 g_2 \\
d^f_{01}(f_1 e^\beta_2) &= d_1 g_1
\end{aligned}
$$

After canceling the d^f_{00} differentials labeled with 1 together with the change of basis $f_1 e^\alpha_2 \mapsto f_1 e^\alpha_2 + a_1 e^\beta_2$, the complex is given by Figure 22 (with d^f_{01} differentials now included in the diagram).

For a pair of generators in $\widetilde{C}_F(S^{I+}_a)$ connected by a differential with coefficient $U_1 + U_3$, the homology can be written



FIGURE 21. The complex for Case 1 with d_{00}^f differentials shown



FIGURE 22. The complex for Case 1 after canceling d_0 isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{H}_H(S_b^{I+} - Z'_\emptyset)[U_2]$$

Thus, we can view the total homology with respect to d_{00}^f as shown in Figure 23. The differentials shown are the induced d_{10}^f differentials.

Since the induced d_{01}^f maps are injective, the homology is concentrated in local cube grading 1 (at the smoothing). This proves Case 1.

Remark 4.8. We can compute the homology for this connectivity explicitly to be

$$\widetilde{H}_H(S_b^{I+} - Z'_\emptyset)[U_2]/U_1 = U_2$$

$$\widetilde{H}_H(S_b^{I+} - Z'_\emptyset)[U_2] \xrightarrow{U_1 + U_2} \widetilde{H}_H(S_b^{I+} - Z'_\emptyset)[U_2]$$

$$\widetilde{H}_H(S_b^{I+} - Z'_\emptyset)[U_2] \xrightarrow{1} \widetilde{H}_H(S_b^{I+} - Z'_\emptyset)[U_2]$$

FIGURE 23. The complex $H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S_a^{I+}), d_{00}^f)$ with differential $(d_{10}^f)^*$

which is precisely the homology

$$H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S_b^{I+}), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*)$$

as expected. In fact, a standard invariance proof would have been to prove isomorphisms of this type. However, this requires proving that the higher differentials on the complexes agree, which is possible on algebraically defined complexes like HOMFLY-PT or sl_n homology, but very difficult in terms of counting holomorphic discs. This is why we resort to the algebraic argument from Section 3.

Case 2: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. This case is much easier than the previous case. S_1 has two cycles with this connectivity, Z_{13} and Z_{123}. However, Z_{13} is not admissible, so the contribution to homology is trivial. The cycle Z_{123} only appears in the smoothing, so the homology is concentrated in local cube grading 1.

The negative Reidemeister I case is similar, with the homology also being concentrated in local cube grading 1. We leave this as an exercise for the reader.

4.2. **Reidemeister II.** As with the Reidemeister I move, we will add basepoints to the diagram D_a^{II+} in every region in the complement of D_a^{II+} except the center region involved in the Reidemeister II move (see Figure 24). Let d_{ij}^f denote the component of d_{ij} which preserves this basepoint filtration. Once again, it suffices to show that $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{II+}), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*)$ lies in a single local cube grading.



FIGURE 24. The diagram D_a^{II+} with additional basepoints

Let S_a^{II+} denote a partial resolution of D_a^{II+} such that all outer crossings are resolved, but the inner crossings are not. We will prove that for each outer cycle, the homology $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S_a^{II+}), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*)$ lies in the same local cube grading. There are 6 connectivities for cycles Z in the Reidemeister II tangle, which we will consider case by case.

Case 1: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \emptyset$. The only local cycle with this connectivity is the empty cycle, $Z_\emptyset$. Using the edge map computations in Figure 12, we see that this is locally just the HOMFLY-PT complex with the HOMFLY-PT edge maps. Thus,

$$H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S_a^{II+}, Z_\emptyset), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*) \cong H_H(S_a^{II+} - Z_\emptyset)$$

It was shown in the invariance proof of HOMFLY-PT homology in [5] that this homology lies in local cube grading 1.

Case 2: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. This connectivity has two cycles - Z_{135} and Z_{145}. The cycle Z_{135} is not admissible, so it does not contribute. The cycle Z_{145} only has non-trivial contribution when both inner crossings are singular, which is the 0 resolution for the positive crossing and the 1 resolution for the negative crossing. The homology therefore only lies in local cube grading 1.

Case 3: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. This connectivity has two cycles in S_a^{II+} - Z_{136} and Z_{146}. Neither of these cycles are admissible, so the homology corresponding to this connectivity is trivial.

Case 4: $A_{in}(Z) = \{1\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2\}$. This connectivity has two cycles in S_a^{II+} - Z_{235} and Z_{245}. For $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, let $(S_a^{II+})^{ij}$ be the complete resolution of S_a^{II+} such that the top crossing has the i-resolution and the bottom crossing has the j-resolution.

We will use the following shorthand notation: let $\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{klm}^{ij})$ denote the component of the complex $\widetilde{C}_F((S_a^{II+})^{ij})$ with underlying cycle Z_{klm} (Using the notation developed so far, this would be written $\widetilde{C}_F((S_a^{II+})^{ij}, Z_{klm})$ which is quite cumbersome.)

Both of the cycles Z_{235} and Z_{245} are admissible and have one turn, so the total complex has four contributions: $\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{235}^{00})$, $\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{235}^{01})$, $\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{245}^{01})$, and $\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{235}^{11})$. The first superscript is 0 when the top crossing is singularized and 1 when it is smoothed, and the second superscript is 0 when the bottom crossing is smoothed and 1 when it is singularized. The complexes are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{235}^{00}) &\cong \widetilde{C}_F^{out}(\{1\}, \{2\}) \otimes Kosz_R(U_2, U_3, U_5, U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4, U_4 + U_6) \\
\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{235}^{01}) &\cong \widetilde{C}_F^{out}(\{1\}, \{2\}) \otimes Kosz_R(U_2, U_3, U_5, U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4, U_3 + U_4 + U_5 + U_6) \\
\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{245}^{01}) &\cong \widetilde{C}_F^{out}(\{1\}, \{2\}) \otimes Kosz_R(U_2, U_4, U_5, U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4, U_3 + U_4 + U_5 + U_6) \\
\widetilde{C}_F(Z_{245}^{11}) &\cong \widetilde{C}_F^{out}(\{1\}, \{2\}) \otimes Kosz_R(U_2, U_4, U_5, U_1 + U_3, U_3 + U_4 + U_5 + U_6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_F^{out}(\{1\}, \{2\})$ denotes the portion of the complex coming from the outer cycle with connectivity $A_{in}(Z) = \{1\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2\}$.

Since U_2 and U_5 appear in all of these and the edges in a cycle form a regular sequence, we will cancel them.



FIGURE 25. The chain complex $\widetilde{C}_F(S^{01})$

$$\widetilde{C}_F(S_a, Z^{00}_{235}) \cong \widetilde{C}^{out}_F(\{1\},\{2\}) \otimes Kosz_{R/(U_2=U_5=0)}(U_3, U_1+U_3+U_4, U_4+U_6)$$
$$\widetilde{C}_F(S_a, Z^{01}_{235}) \cong \widetilde{C}^{out}_F(\{1\},\{2\}) \otimes Kosz_{R/(U_2=U_5=0)}(U_3, U_1+U_3+U_4, U_3+U_4+U_6)$$
$$\widetilde{C}_F(S_a, Z^{01}_{245}) \cong \widetilde{C}^{out}_F(\{1\},\{2\}) \otimes Kosz_{R/(U_2=U_5=0)}(U_4, U_1+U_3+U_4, U_3+U_4+U_6)$$
$$\widetilde{C}_F(S_a, Z^{11}_{245}) \cong \widetilde{C}^{out}_F(\{1\},\{2\}) \otimes Kosz_{R/(U_2=U_5=0)}(U_4, U_1+U_3, U_3+U_4+U_6)$$

From here, we will add in the d^f_{00} and d^f_{10} differentials. Computing them directly from the diagram would not require any advanced techniques, but there are a lot of generators and discs to count. Fortunately, there is an easier way using the edge map computations in Section 2.8 together with the fact that $d^2 = 0$. The only discs we will have to count are those within the singular diagram S^{01}_a which map between $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$ and $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$. These are shown in Figure 25, where

$$A = \widetilde{C}^{out}_F(\{1\},\{2\}) \otimes Kosz_{R/(U_2=U_5=0)}(U_1+U_3+U_4)$$

Recall that there is a canonical isomorphism between $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ and $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$. In terms of the Koszul complexes above, this isomorphism corresponds to adding the U_3 to the U_4+U_6 in $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$. The component of d^f_{10} which maps from $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ to $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$ is this isomorphism composed with multiplication by U_6. Thus, the complex involving $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$, $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$, and $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$ is given by Figure 26, where the only differentials not included are those mapping from $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ to $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$. In this diagram, we have performed a change of basis in $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ from $Kosz(U_3, U_4+U_6)$ to $Kosz(U_3, U_3+U_4+U_6)$ to reduce the number of arrows.

We see that the differentials included so far uniquely determine the differentials from $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ to $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$ subject to $d^2 = 0$. These differentials are included in Figure 26. After



FIGURE 26. Chain complex involving $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$, $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$, and $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$, counting all differentials except those from $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ to $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$

taking homology with respect to the d^f_{00} differentials which preserve the underlying cycle, we see that the d^f_{10} differentials induce an isomorphism between $\widetilde{H}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$ and $\widetilde{H}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$.

A similar argument counting the differentials between $\widetilde{C}_F(S^{01}_1)$ and $\widetilde{C}_F(S^{11}_1)$ shows that d^f_{10} also induces an isomorphism from $\widetilde{H}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$ to $\widetilde{H}_F(Z^{11}_{245})$. This shows that the complex $(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S^{II+}_a), d^f_{00}), (d^f_{10})^*)$ restricted to this connectivity is given in Figure 28, which is clearly contractible. Thus, the homology with this connectivity is trivial.

Case 5: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 2.

Case 6: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1, 2\}$. This connectivity has one cycle in S^{II+}_a consisting of all six edges, which only appears in the complete resolution of S^{II+}_a in which both inner crossings have been smoothed. This complete resolution lies in local cube grading 1.

This completes the proof that $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D^{II+}_a), d^f_{00}), (d^f_{01})^*)$ is concentrated in local cube grading 1. Analogous case study arguments show that $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D^{II-}_a), d^f_{00}), (d^f_{01})^*)$ also lies in local cube grading 1, giving invariance under both braidlike Reidemeister II moves.

4.3. **Reidemeister III.** As with the previous two moves, we will add basepoints to the diagram D^{III}_a in every region in the complement of D^{III}_a except the regions involved in the Reidemeister III move (see Figure 29). Let d^f_{ij} denote the component of d_{ij} which preserves this basepoint filtration. Once again, it suffices to show that $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(D^{III}_a), d^f_{00}), (d^f_{01})^*)$ lies in a single local cube grading.



FIGURE 27. Chain complex involving $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{00}_{235})$, $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{235})$, and $\widetilde{C}_F(Z^{01}_{245})$ with all differentials included

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{00}_{235}) & \xrightarrow{U_6} & \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{01}_{235}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{01}_{245}) & \xrightarrow[U_1]{} & \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{01}_{245}) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 28. The simplified chain complex for case 4 after taking d^f_{00} differentials.

As with the previous cases, let S^{III}_a denote a partial resolution of D^{III}_a such that all outer crossings are resolved, but the inner crossings are not. We will prove that for each outer cycle, the homology $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S^{III}_a), d^f_{00}), (d^f_{01})^*)$ lies in the same local cube grading. For the Reidemeister III diagram, there are 20 connectivities to consider, so it will be useful to have some algebraic lemmas to make computations go more quickly.



FIGURE 29. The diagram D_a^{III} with additional basepoints

Lemma 4.9 ([13])**.** *Given a (possibly singular) diagram D, for any edge e_i in D, the multiplication map*

$$U_i : \widetilde{H}_H(D) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_H(D)$$

on unreduced HOMFLY-PT homology is injective. This is true for the middle HOMFLY-PT homology as well. Additionally, as a triply-graded vector space, the homology of the mapping cone does not depend on the choice of edge e_i.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose $(C, d_1 + ... + d_k)$ is a finite-dimensional, filtered chain complex such that the total homology is trivial. Suppose also that the homology is concentrated in 3 adjacent gradings C_1, C_2, and C_3, with*

$$dim(C_2) = dim(C_1) + dim(C_3)$$

Then $H_*(C, d_1) = 0$.

Proof. The differential d_1 is the only one that can map into or out of C_2 non-trivially, so in order for the total homology to be trivial, $H_*(C, d_1)$ must be trivial in grading 2. But the equality

$$dim(C_2) = dim(C_1) + dim(C_3)$$

is preserved when taking homology with respect to d_1, so $H_*(C, d_1)$ must also be trivial in gradings 1 and 3. □

We can now begin our case studies.

Case 1: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \emptyset$. In this case we get

$$H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}(S_a^{III}, Z_\emptyset), d_{00}^f), d_{10}^*) = \widetilde{H}_H(S_a^{III} - Z_\emptyset)$$

We know from the invariance of HOMFLY-PT homology under braid-like Reidemeister moves that $\widetilde{H}_H(S_a^{III} - Z_\emptyset)$ lies in a single local cube grading, because S_b^{III} does. It lies in the



FIGURE 30. The chain complex for Z_{behkm} with $gr(c_2) = 0$.

grading where all the crossings are smoothed, since that is the sub-quotient complex where S_b^{III} lies within the cube complex for S_a^{III}. Since there are 3 positive crossings and 3 negative crossings, the homology is concentrated in inner cube grading 3.

Case 2: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. In S_a^{III}, there is only one admissible cycle, given by Z_{afilm}. This cycle makes no turns, and it's complement consists of two strands interacting in a Reidemeister II move. If we label the crossings c_1 through c_6 from top to bottom, then the inner cube grading is given by

$$gr_{in} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} gr(c_i)$$

where $gr(c_i)$ is 0 for the 0-resolution and 1 for the 1-resolution.

The two crossings corresponding to the Reidemeister II move are c_1 and c_4, so the homology is concentrated in $gr(c_1) + gr(c_4) = 1$. For the remaining crossings, Z_{afilm} is diagonal, so it only appears in the singularization. Hence, $gr(c_2) = gr(c_3) = 0$ and $gr(c_5) = gr(c_6) = 1$. Thus, the homology is concentrated in local cube grading 3.

Case 3: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. There are no admissible cycles with this connectivity.

Case 4: $A_{in}(Z) = \{3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. There are no admissible cycles with this connectivity.

Case 5: $A_{in}(Z) = \{1\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2\}$. There are two admissible cycles in S_a^{III}, given by Z_{behkm} and Z_{beilm}, each of which makes one turn. Note that these two cycles agree for the first two edges, so the top two crossings aren't involved in the maps between them. The crossing c_1 is forced to be singularized, but c_2 can have either resolution. We will assume for now that it is singularized as well.

We now only look at the portion of the diagram containing the bottom four crossings, i.e. the diagram from e_g, e_f, and e_e to e_m, e_n and e_o. Note that this 4-crossing diagram can be transformed by isotopy to a 2-crossing diagram in which there are no cycles from e_e to e_m. It follows that the *total* homology of this complex is trivial, but we need to show that this is the case for the E_2 page.

Subject to our assumption that $gr(c_2) = 0$, the complex for Z_{behkm} is nontrivial at 4 vertices in the inner cube. The contributions and edge maps between them are shown in Figure 30. The complex for Z_{beilm} is also non-trivial at 4 vertices in the inner cube, shown in Figure 31.

Applying Lemma 4.9, the total complex is given in Figure 32, where f_1 and f_2 are edge maps that haven't been computed yet. Note that locally, both the Z_{behkm} and Z_{beilm} complexes are the once reduced HOMFLY-PT homology of a single negative crossing, and outside of the local picture they have the exact same diagram. Thus, the reduced complexes are isomorphic.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{000011}_{beilm}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_-} & \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{000111}_{beilm}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle U_f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle U_f} \\ \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{0001011}_{beilm}) & \xrightarrow[\Delta_-]{} & \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{001111}_{beilm}) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 31. The chain complex for Z_{beilm} with $gr(c_2) = 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{000101}_{behkm})/U_n = 0 & \xrightarrow{\Delta_-} & \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{000111}_{behkm})/U_n = 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} \\ \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{0001011}_{beilm})/U_f = 0 & \xrightarrow[\Delta_-]{} & \widetilde{H}_F(Z^{001111}_{beilm})/U_f = 0 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 32. The total complex with $gr(c_2) = 0$.

We therefore want to show that f_1 and f_2 induce this quasi-isomorphism. With respect to the triple grading (M, A, gr_{cube}), this quasi-isomorphism would be homogeneous of degree $(-1, 0, 1)$. If we examine each Alexander grading, the complex is finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ - thus, it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.10. It follows that the homology is trivial.

The same arguments works for the complex with $gr(c_2) = 1$, proving that the E_2 page with this connectivity is trivial.

Case 6: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 2.

Case 7: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{3\}$. There are no admissible cycles with this connectivity.

Case 8: $A_{in}(Z) = \{3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1\}$. This case is the most complicated, as D^{III}_a has five admissible cycles. They are Z_{cdgkm}, Z_{cdgjlm}, Z_{cdfilm}, Z_{cehkm}, and Z_{ceilm}.

By the same argument as Case 5, the edge maps includes a quasi-isomorphism from Z_{cehkm} to Z_{ceilm}. For the remaining cycles, we will simplify the complexes until we can apply Lemma 4.10 to show that the homology is trivial.

The complement of the cycle Z_{cdgkm} consists of 2 strands that make a Reidemeister II move at crossings c_3 and c_5. We can therefore make cancellations within this complex until the homology is concentrated at $gr(c_3) = 1$, $gr(c_5) = 0$. The complement of Z_{cdgjlm} consists of two strands with a positive crossing, while the complement of Z_{cdfilm} consists of two strands with a negative crossing. Each of these cycles makes at least one turn, causing the homology of the complement to be reduced. The cycle Z_{cdgkm} actually makes two turns - we will choose the reducing map from c_6. The total complex after these cancelations is shown in Figure 33.

It is possible for f_2, f_3, and f_5 to be isomorphisms, as they map between isotopic diagrams and have the proper gradings. It follows that in each Alexander grading, this complex satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.10, so the homology is trivial.

Remark 4.11. This complex corresponds to a categorification of the HOMFLY-PT skein relation



FIGURE 33. The complex for cycles Z_{cdgkm}, Z_{cdgjlm}, and Z_{cdfilm}.

$$aP_H(a,q,L_+) - a^{-1}P_H(a,q,L_-) = (q-q^{-1})P_H(a,q,L_0)$$

Case 9: $A_{in}(Z) = \{3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 5, with the only difference being that the crossings are positive instead of negative.
Case 10: $A_{in}(Z) = \{3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{3\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 2.
Case 11: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1,2\}$. Just as in Case 2, there is only one admissible cycle, namely the cycle defined by Z^C_{cdgjo}. For cases 11-19, it will be easiest notationally to refer to cycles by their complements, as the cycles themselves have so many edges. Observe that for these cases, the complements of all cycles will consist of a single strand, and are therefore isotopic. The arguments are therefore going to be simplified versions of the arguments in cases 2-10.

The cycle Z^C_{cdgjo} only has contributions where c_1, c_2, c_4, and c_5 are singularized and c_3 and c_6 are smoothed. This vertex has local cube grading 3.
Case 12: $A_{in}(Z) = \{1,2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1,3\}$. There are no admissible cycles with this connectivity in S^{III}_a.
Case 13: $A_{in}(Z) = \{1,2\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2,3\}$. There are no admissible cycles with this connectivity in S^{III}_a.
Case 14: $A_{in}(Z) = \{1,3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1,2\}$. There are two admissible cycles in D^{III}_a, given by Z^C_{behjo} and Z^C_{bdgjo}, each making one turn. We know that the total homology is trivial, because there are no cycles with this connectivity in D^{III}_b. These 4 contributions lie in 3 adjacent cube gradings, so applying Lemma 4.10 to each Alexander grading proves that the homology is trivial.
Case 15: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1,3\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 11.
Case 16: $A_{in}(Z) = \{1,3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{2,3\}$. There are no admissible cycles with this connectivity in S^{III}_a.
Case 17: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2,3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1,2\}$. There are three admissible cycles with this connectivity - Z^C_{afio}, Z^C_{afhjo}, and Z^C_{agjo}, making one turn, two turns, and one turn, respectively. Using one turn from each complex, we can reduce each homology so that it is concentrated

in 3 adjacent cube gradings. We can then apply Lemma 4.10 to each Alexander grading, proving that the homology is trivial.

Case 18: $A_{in}(Z) = \{2,3\}$, $A_{out}(Z) = \{1,3\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 14.

Case 19: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{2,3\}$. This case follows from the same argument as Case 11.

Case 20: $A_{in}(Z) = A_{out}(Z) = \{1,2,3\}$. The only cycle with this connectivity consists of all of the edges in the local tangle. This cycle only contributes when all 6 crossings are smoothed, which falls in local cube grading 3.

We have shown that $H_*(H_*(\widetilde{C}_F(S_a^{III}), d_{00}^f), (d_{01}^f)^*)$ lies in local cube grading 3, proving Reidemeister III invariance.

4.4. **Gradings.** We have shown that for all $k \geq 2$, with respect to the cube grading,

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{I+})\{-1\} \cong E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_b^{I+})$$

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{I-})\{-1\} \cong E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_b^{I-})$$

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{II+})\{-1\} \cong E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_b^{II-})$$

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{II-})\{-1\} \cong E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_b^{II-})$$

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_a^{III})\{-3\} \cong E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D_b^{III})$$

It's not hard to see that adding in the overall grading shift of $\frac{1}{2}(-c(D) - b(D))$ turns all of these grading shifts between the D_a and D_b complexes into 0. Thus, for all $k \geq 2$,

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D)\{\frac{1}{2}(-c(D) - b(D))\}$$

gives a graded invariant with respect to the cube grading. To see that the Maslov and Alexander gradings are also invariant under the braid-like Reidemeister moves, we note that the above isomorphisms are induced by Heegaard moves, and the corresponding maps are known to preserve these two gradings. This proves that

$$E_k(\widetilde{C}_F(D)\{\frac{1}{2}(-c(D) - b(D))\}$$

is a triply graded invariant. With regards to the graded Euler characteristic, we have already shown in Section 2.7 that with respect to the triple grading $(-gr_q + gr_h, -gr_h, gr_v)$,

$$\sum_{i,j,k}(-1)^{(k-j)/2}q^i a^j dim(E_2^{i,j,k}(\widetilde{C}_F(D))) = P_H(a,q,D)$$

Note that with the cube grading shifted by $\{\frac{1}{2}(-c(D)-b(D))\}$, the vertical grading is exactly twice the cube grading.

4.5. **The Middle and Reduced Versions.** We will finish by proving that the middle and reduced versions give well-defined invariants as well. We will continue to use the triple grading (M, A, gr_{cube}), but for ease of exposition, we will from now on assume that the cube grading in all three complexes is shifted by $\frac{1}{2}(-c(D) - b(D))$.

4.5.1. *The Middle Theory.* To prove invariance of $C_F(D)$, we must show that the E_2 and higher pages do not depend on the choice of decorated bivalent vertex, and that it is invariant under braidlike Reidemeister moves. We will relax the assumption that the decorated edge is leftmost in the braid, even though this means that $H_*(C_F(S), d_0^f)$ is not necessarily isomorphic to $H_*(C_F(S), d_0)$.

Let D be a diagram for a knot K with a decorated bivalent vertex v and v_2. Let HD_1 denote the Heegaard diagram for this decorated braid diagram. Since we will be dealing with multiple Heegaard diagrams that correspond to the same knot projection D, we will define $C_F(HD)$ to be the chain complex associated to the Heegaard Diagram HD.

We can alter this diagram by adding an unknot to the left of the braid whose U variable is set equal to zero. The local diagram for this unknot is shown in Figure 34. Let HD_2 denote this modified diagram. It is well known that this modification doubles the homology, as the differential from y to x is zero.



FIGURE 34. The Heegaard diagram for the extra unknot to the left of the braid

With regards to the triple grading,

$$C_F(HD_2) \cong C_F(HD_1) \otimes V$$

where $V = \mathbb{Z}_2\{-\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0\}$ with respect to the triple grading. We now note that the Heegaard diagram HD_2 can be connected to a Heegaard diagram HD_3 in which the marking has been moved to this unknot via moves satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.3. In particular, we handleslide α_0 over all other α curves which aren't bounded by another α curve, and similarly for β_0. Thus, $C_F(HD_2)$ is filtered chain homotopy equivalent to $C_F(HD_3)$. But by definition, $C_F(HD_3) = \widetilde{C}_F(D)$.

This proves that $C_F(HD_1) \otimes V$ is filtered chain homotopy equivalent to $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$. We have already shown that $\widetilde{C}_F(D)$ is an invariant of braids, and $C_F(HD_1)$ is uniquely determined by $C_F(HD_1) \otimes V$. This proves that $C_F(D)$ is an invariant of braids and that it does not depend on the choice of marking v.

4.5.2. *The Reduced Theory.* The reduced complex $\overline{C}_F(D)$ is defined as follows:

$$\overline{C}_F(D) = C_F(D)\{-1, -1, -2\} \xrightarrow{U_i} C_F(D)\{0, 0, 0\}$$

for some edge e_i in D. For $\overline{C}_F(D)$ to give a well-defined homology theory, we need to show that the E_2 page does not depend on the choice of edge e_i. The standard argument is to show that edges which are diagonal at a crossing give the same action. Traversing the knot, this shows that multiplication by any two edges is the same.

Lemma 4.12. *Let e_m and e_n be edges which meet diagonally at a crossing c (for example, edges e_1 and e_4 in Figure 3). Then multiplication by U_m and U_n is the same on $E_2(C_F(D))$.*

We will use the following lemma in the proof:

Lemma 4.13. *Suppose (C, d) is a graded complex that lies in 2 adjacent gradings. Let d_i denote the component of d which increases grading by i, so $d = d_0 + d_1$. Then*

$$H_*(C, d_0 + d_1) \cong H_*(H_*(C, d_0), d_1^*)$$

Proof. Since the complex lies in two adjacent gradings, there cannot be any induced differentials of length more than 1. It follows that the spectral sequence induced by the grading must collapse at the E_2 page.

□

Proof of Lemma 4.12. This is known to be true for standard knot Floer homology, a fact that we will use here. Choose an ordering of the crossings $c_1, ..., c_k$ such that $c_1 = c$. Define C_1 to be the homology

$$H_*(C_F(D), d_0)$$

and let $d_1(j)$ denote the component of the induced edge map coming from the crossing c_j. Then,

$$E_2(C_F(D)) = H_*(C_1, d_1(1) + ... + d_1(k))$$

We can apply different weights to the crossings to induce a filtration on this differential. We will choose to give c_1 weight 0 and all other crossings weight 1. In the corresponding filtration, $d_1(1)$ preserves filtration level while $d_1(j)$ increases filtration level for $j > 1$. This induces a spectral sequence converging to $H_*(C_1, d_1(1) + ... + d_1(k))$ whose E_1 page is $H_*(C_1, d_1(1))$. It suffices to show that multiplication by U_m and U_n are the same on $H_*(C_1, d_1(1))$.

The complex $H_*(C_1, d_1(1))$ preserves the cube filtration coming from crossings $c_2, ..., c_k$. Let S denote a partial resolution of D in which all crossings but c_1 are resolved, but c_1 remains a crossing. Then $H_*(C_1, d_1(1))$ decomposes as a direct sum over all such partial resolutions S. Let S_0 be the complete resolution in which c_1 has the 0-resolution and S_1 the 1-resolution. The complex $(C_1, d_1(1))$ can be written

$$\bigoplus_S H_F(S_0) \xrightarrow{d_1(1)} H_F(S_1)$$

But applying Lemma 4.13, the homology of each of these summands is the *total* homology of S, on which U_m and U_n are known to have the same multiplication.

□

Thus, the reduced theory does not depend on the edge at which it is reduced. This also shows that $E_2(C_F(D))$ is a finitely generated (though not necessarily free) $\mathbb{Z}_2[U]$-module, and the reduced theory is finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Remark 4.14. The proof of Lemma 4.12 can be summarized as the fact that a cube filtration can't do anything interesting when you're only looking at one crossing, as the E_2 page will be the same as the total homology.

This proves that the unreduced, middle, and reduced theories all give will defined invariants, which are analogous to the unreduced, middle, and HOMFLY-PT homologies. We will explore some explicit relationships with the HOMFLY-PT homologies in the next section.

5. Relationship with HOMFLY-PT homology

Let D be a braid diagram for a knot K. On both knot Floer homology and HOMFLY-PT homology, the U_i multiplication maps are all the same, making them $\mathbb{Z}_2[U]$-modules. $HFK^-(K)$ is known to be of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}_2[U] \oplus \textit{Torsion}$$

where the torsion is killed by some power of U. For HOMFLY-PT homology, on the other hand, $H_H(K)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_2[U]$-module of finite rank. In particular, the middle theory is just the reduced theory with a variable adjoined.

Theorem 5.1. *Let T be the torsion part of $E_2(C_F(D))$. Then*

$$E_2(C_F(D)) \cong H_H(K) \oplus T$$

and similarly for the unreduced theory. This isomorphism preserves the horizontal grading gr_h and the vertical grading gr_v, but not necessarily the q-grading.

Thus, the E_2 page being torsion-free would prove that it is in fact HOMFLY-PT homology.

Proof. We know that the basepoint-filtered homology $H_*(H_*(C_F(D), d_0^f), (d_1^f)^*)$ splits over cycles Z, and that the contribution of a non-empty an admissible cycle Z is

$$H_H(D - Z, n(Z))$$

where $n(Z)$ is the number of turns made by the cycle Z, and $H_H(D, n)$ is the HOMFLY-PT homology of D reduced n times, at least one on each component of D (see Section 2.8).

Thus, the contribution from the empty-cycle is the full HOMFLY-PT homology $H_H(D)$, while the contribution from the remaining cycles is finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. It follows that even after taking homology with respect to the unfiltered edge maps, the rank over $\mathbb{Z}_2[U]$ will be the rank of $H_H(D)$.

Note that the non-empty cycles may not be torsion, as multiplication by U may map into the empty cycle. This can be seen in the example of the unknot. This "filling in" of the cycles underneath the empty cycle is why the q-grading is not preserved in the map above. In fact, we don't expect the q-grading to be preserved, as we need the extra homology from the non-empty cycles to provide the grading shift that maps $a \mapsto aq$ on the polynomial level. □

Corollary 5.2. *The reduced theory is given by*

$$E_2(\overline{C}_F(D)) \cong \overline{H}_H(D) \oplus T'$$

where T' is the homology of the mapping cone

$$T \xrightarrow{U} T$$

In particular, there is a rank inequality

$$dim(E_2(\overline{C}_F(D))) \geq dim(\overline{H}_H(D))$$

References

[1] Nathan Dowlin. The knot Floer cube of resolutions and the composition product. *Preprint arXiv:1508.03037*, 2015.

[2] Nathan Dowlin. Knot floer homology and khovanov-rozansky homology for singular links. *Preprint arXiv:1511.08953*, 2017.

[3] Nathan Dunfield, Sergei Gukov, and Jacob Rasmussen. The Superpolynomial for Knot Homologies. *Experimental Math*, 15(2):129–160, 2006.

[4] Allison Gilmore. Framed Graphs and the Non-local Ideal in the Knot Floer Cube of Resolutions. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 15:1239–1302, 2015.

[5] Mikhail Khovanov and Lev Rozansky. Matrix Factorizations and Link Homology II. *Geometry and Topology*, 12:1387–1425, 2008.

[6] Daniel Krasner. Integral HOMFLY-PT and sl(n) link homology. *Preprint arXiv:0910.1790*, 2009.

[7] Ciprian Manolescu. An Untwisted Cube of Resolutions for Knot Floer Homology. *Quantum Topology*, 5:185–223, 2014.

[8] Peter Ozsváth, András Stipsicz, and Zoltán Szabó. Floer homology and singular knots. *Journal of Topology*, 2:380–404, 2009.

[9] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic discs and knot invariants. *Advances in Mathematics*, pages 58–116, 2004.

[10] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic discs, link invariants, and the multi-variable alexander polynomial. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 8:615–692, 2008.

[11] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. A Cube of Resolutions for Knot Floer Homology. *Journal of Topology*, 2009.

[12] Jacob Rasmussen. Floer homology and knot complements. *PhD Thesis, Harvard University*, 2003.

[13] Jacob Rasmussen. Some Differentials on Khovanov-Rozansky Homology. *Preprint arXiv:0607544v2*, 2006.